

Transition Temperature of a Uniform Imperfect Bose Gas

Kerson Huang*

*Center for Theoretical Physics and Physics Department
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139
(February 1, 2008)*

We calculate the transition temperature of a uniform dilute Bose gas with repulsive interactions, using a known virial expansion of the equation of state. We find that the transition temperature is higher than that of an ideal gas, with a fractional increase $K_0(na^3)^{1/6}$, where n is the density and a is the S-wave scattering length, and K_0 is a constant given in the paper. This disagrees with all existing results, analytical or numerical. It agrees exactly in magnitude with a result due to Toyoda, but has the opposite sign.

The weakly interacting Bose gas is an old subject that has found new life since the experimental discovery of Bose-Einstein condensation in ultra-cold trapped atoms. Although there is a vast literature on the subject, the transition temperature of the interacting gas remains a controversial subject, even in the uniform case. In this note, we present a calculation that is simple and transparent, in the hope that it will settle the controversy.

In the ideal Bose gas, the condition determining the fugacity z in the gas phase is

$$\lambda^3 n = g_{3/2}(z) \quad (1)$$

where n is the particle density, $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$, $\lambda = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/m}$ is the thermal wavelength, and

$$g_\alpha(z) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^\ell}{\ell^\alpha} . \quad (2)$$

No single-particle state has a macroscopic occupation in this phase. However, the function $g_{3/2}(z)$ is monotonic, and bounded by $g_{3/2}(1) = \zeta(3/2) = 2.612$. Thus, particles must go into the zero-momentum state when $\lambda^3 n > \zeta(3/2)$, making this state macroscopically occupied. This gives the transition temperature of the ideal Bose gas at a fixed density n :

$$T_0 = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B} [\zeta(3/2)]^{-2/3} . \quad (3)$$

Now consider a uniform imperfect Bose gas with repulsive interactions with equivalent hard-sphere diameter

a (S-wave scattering length). We denote the transition temperature by T_c , and its fractional shift by

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T_0} \equiv \frac{T_c - T_0}{T_0} . \quad (4)$$

In an early statement on the subject [1], it was argued that $\Delta T > 0$, since a spatial repulsion leads to momentum-space attraction [2], and this would make the imperfect gas more ready to condense. However, a Hartree-Fock calculation by Fetter and Walecka [3], and one by Girardeau [4] based on a mean-field method, yield the opposite sign $\Delta T < 0$. A calculation of the grand partition to one-loop order by Toyoda [5] also yields a negative sign. Specifically, Toyoda obtains

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T_0}\right)_{\text{Toyoda}} = -K_0(na^3)^{1/6} \quad (5)$$

where

$$K_0 = \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{3[\zeta(3/2)]^{2/3}} = 3.527 . \quad (6)$$

Barring calculational errors, this must be considered reliable, since it is the lowest-order result of a systematic expansion. All subsequent calculations, alas, yield answers different from this and from one another. Stoof [6] gives $\Delta T \sim a^{3/2}$, while Bijlsma and Stoof [7] obtain $\Delta T \sim a^{1/2}$. A numerical calculation based on Monte-Carlo simulation by Grüter et al. [8] gives $\Delta T/T_0 = c_0(na^3)^\gamma$, where $c_0 = 0.34 \pm 0.06$, and $\gamma = 0.34 \pm 0.03$. A recent calculation involving some mean-field assumptions [9] gives $\Delta T/T_0 = 0.7(na^3)^{1/3}$. Thus, there is no consensus on how ΔT should depend on the scattering length, nor even the sign!

We shall calculate T_c using an extension of (1) obtained some time ago [10] via the virial expansion. To lowest order in a power series in a , the result is

$$\lambda^3 n = g_{3/2}(z) \left[1 - \frac{4a}{\lambda} g_{1/2}(z)\right] . \quad (7)$$

As a function of z , the right side rises through a maximum at some value $z = z_c$, and then approaches $-\infty$ as $z \rightarrow 1$. Thus, as in the ideal case, the right side is bounded from above, and the bound occurs at z_c . Since the treatment is valid only when $a/\lambda \ll 1$, we put

$$z_c = 1 - \delta \quad (\delta \ll 1) . \quad (8)$$

*This work was supported in part by a DOE cooperative agreement DE-FC02-94ER40818. MIT-CTP 2851

The maximum can be located with the help of the expansions [11]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{3/2}(z_c) &\approx \zeta(3/2) - 2\sqrt{\pi}\delta^{1/2} \\ g_{1/2}(z_c) &\approx \sqrt{\pi}\delta^{-1/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We then find

$$\delta = \frac{2a}{\lambda} \zeta(3/2) . \quad (10)$$

The critical temperature T_c can be found by substituting this value into (7), with the result

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T_0} = K_0 (na^3)^{1/6} \quad (11)$$

where K_0 is given in (6). Thus, we agree exactly with Toyoda except for the sign. Since the derivation here is simple and transparent, we believe Toyoda made a sign error.

In order to obtain a shift in the transition temperature, it is necessary to incorporate interactions in the gas phase, and that is why the virial expansion is useful. On the other hand, the quasiparticle picture introduced via the Bogoliubov transformation is not helpful here, because the quasiparticles reduce to non-interacting particles at the transition point.

-
- [1] K. Huang, "Imperfect Bose Gas," in *Studies in Statistical Mechanics*, Vol. II, J. de Boer and G.E. Uhlenbeck, eds. (North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1964), pp.1–106.
 - [2] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, 2nd. ed. (Wiley, New York, 1987). p.303, Prob. 12.7.
 - [3] A. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971) Sec. 28.
 - [4] M. Girardeau, *The Physics of Fluids*, **5**, 1458 (1962).
 - [5] T. Toyoda, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **141**, 154 (1982).
 - [6] H.T.C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev.* **A45**, 8398 (1992).
 - [7] M. Bijlsma and H.T.C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev.* **A54**, 5058 (1996).
 - [8] P. Grüter, D. Ceperley, and F. Laloë, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3549 (1997).
 - [9] M. Holzmann, P. Grüter, and F. Laloë, Paris Preprint (1998).
 - [10] K. Huang, C.N. Yang, and J.M. Luttinger, *Phys. Rev.* **105**, 776 (1957). See also Ref. [1], p.44.
 - [11] J.E. Robinson, *Phys. Rev.* **83**, 678 (1951). See also F. London, *Superfluids*, Vol.II, (Wiley, New York, 1954), Appendix, p.203.